TEACHING ENGLISH MODAL VERBS FOR REAL COMMUNICATION: A FUNCTIONAL APPROACH TO MEANING AND USE

Qutliyeva Gulhayo Bobirovna

Independent English Language Instructor

Bukhara, Uzbekistan

Abstract

Modal verbs such as can, must, should, and might play a crucial role in expressing ability, obligation, probability, and advice in English. Despite their frequency and importance, many learners struggle to use modals accurately in real communication. This article examines the limitations of traditional rule-based instruction and proposes a functional, meaning-oriented approach to teaching modal verbs. Drawing on classroom observations and communicative language teaching principles, the paper demonstrates how context-based instruction can improve both understanding and practical usage of modal forms. The discussion highlights implications for English language teaching in diverse educational settings.

Keywords: modal verbs, grammar teaching, communicative approach, English pedagogy, second language learning

Introduction

Modal verbs are among the most commonly used structures in everyday English. They allow speakers to express subtle meanings such as permission, obligation, possibility, and advice. However, in many classrooms, students learn modal verbs as fixed rules without fully understanding their communicative function.

For example, learners may know that:

must = obligation

should = advice

Yet in real situations, they hesitate:

Should I say “must” or “have to”?

Is “can” always permission?

This uncertainty suggests that learners are not struggling with forms, but with meaning and use.

Based on teaching experience, students often memorize modal lists but fail to apply them naturally in conversation. This article argues that modal verbs should be taught through real-life situations where their meanings become clear and necessary.

The Nature of Modal Verbs

Modal verbs are not simply grammatical forms; they express the speaker’s attitude toward an action.

For example:

You must finish this. (strong obligation, speaker authority)

You should finish this. (recommendation)

You could finish this. (possibility or suggestion)

The difference is not structural, but functional and contextual.

Understanding modals requires sensitivity to tone, intention, and situation — elements

often missing in traditional instruction.

Why Learners Find Modals Difficult

1. Multiple Meanings of a Single Form

One modal verb can express different meanings depending on context:

Can → ability (I can swim)

Can → permission (Can I leave?)

Can → possibility (It can be dangerous)

This flexibility confuses learners who expect one-to-one rules.

2. Overlapping Functions

Different modal verbs can express similar meanings:

must vs. have to

should vs. ought to

Without contextual practice, students cannot distinguish when to use each.

3. Lack of Real-Life Application

Exercises often include artificial sentences:

You must clean your room.

While correct, such examples do not reflect varied, authentic communication.

A Functional Teaching Approach

To improve learning outcomes, modal verbs should be taught through situations, roles,

and interaction.

Step 1: Introduce Modals Through Situations

Instead of presenting rules, create scenarios:

At a doctor's office

At school

Giving advice to a friend

For example:

"You have a headache. What should you do?"

Students respond naturally:

You should rest.

You should drink water.

Step 2: Group Modals by Function, Not Form

Teach modals in categories:

Advice: should, ought to

Obligation: must, have to

Permission: can, may

Possibility: might, could

This helps learners organize meaning more effectively.

Step 3: Highlight Differences Through Contrast

Provide minimal pairs:

You must wear a uniform. (rule)

You should wear a uniform. (recommendation)

Ask students to discuss the difference.

This encourages deeper understanding rather than memorization.

Step 4: Role-Play Activities

Use interactive tasks:

Student A = doctor

Student B = patient

Dialogue example:

Patient: I feel tired.

Doctor: You should sleep more.

Such activities make grammar functional and memorable.

Step 5: Encourage Flexible Use

Allow students to experiment with language, even if errors occur.

Instead of immediate correction, focus on whether the meaning is clear. Accuracy can be refined over time.

Classroom Reflections

When modal verbs are taught through context:

Students speak more actively

They begin to choose modals based on meaning, not guesswork

Confidence increases noticeably

In my own classes, learners who previously avoided modal verbs started using them more naturally during discussions and role-plays.

Discussion

The teaching of modal verbs highlights a broader issue in grammar instruction: the separation of form from communicative purpose. When grammar is taught as a static system, learners may achieve accuracy in exercises but fail in real interaction.

A functional approach aligns with communicative language teaching by integrating grammar with meaning and use. It recognizes that language learning is not only about correctness, but also about appropriateness.

Implications for Teaching Practice

Teachers should:

Prioritize context over isolated rules

Use real-life situations regularly

Encourage interaction and discussion

Accept errors as part of learning

Teacher training programs should also emphasize practical strategies for teaching meaning, not just structure.

Conclusion

Modal verbs are essential for effective communication, yet they remain difficult for many learners due to traditional teaching methods. By shifting the focus from rules to meaning and use, educators can help students develop a more intuitive and confident command of modal verbs.

Ultimately, grammar teaching should reflect how language is actually used — as a tool for expressing thoughts, intentions, and relationships in real life.

References

Canale, M., & Swain, M. (1980). Theoretical bases of communicative approaches to second language teaching and testing. Applied Linguistics, 1(1), 1–47.

Ellis, R. (2006). Current issues in the teaching of grammar. TESOL Quarterly, 40(1), 83–107.

Larsen-Freeman, D. (2003). Teaching language: From grammar to grammaring. Boston: Heinle.

Long, M. H. (1991). Focus on form: A design feature in language teaching methodology. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.