DEVELOPING INDEPENDENT LEARNING HABITS IN HIGHER EDUCATION

Hamroyeva Sanobar Hasan qizi
Teacher of Asia International University,
Department of foreign languages
E-mail: hamroyevasanobar1@gmail.com
Subxonova Gulsevar
Asia International University 1th-year Bachelor's student
Bukhara, Uzbekistan
mail: Subxonovagulsevar36@gmail.com

Abstract

University students often study independently between classes, yet the amount of time they spend studying tells them little about what they can recall, explain, or apply. This paper examines how students can develop independent learning habits through a cycle of planning, monitoring, and reflection. A narrative discussion of selected research connects time management and goal setting with retrieval practice, feedback, motivation, and self-efficacy. A foreign-language writing assignment illustrates how a student can set a specific goal, collect evidence of progress, and revise the next study plan in response to difficulties. The central argument is that independent learning becomes more effective when students use evidence from their own work to decide how to study next.

Keywords: independent learning, self-regulation, higher education, retrieval practice, academic feedback, academic writing.

Introduction

Independent learning is an important part of university education because students make many study decisions outside the classroom. They must decide what

deserves attention, which strategy suits the task, and when their understanding is sufficient to proceed. A student may spend an hour rereading notes and recognize every term on the page, yet struggle to explain a key distinction without looking. The problem in this case is how the student judges readiness for the next task.

University grades reflect several influences, including prior achievement and factors associated with motivation and effort [1]. Research on conscientiousness also identifies an association with academic performance [2]. These findings help explain differences in achievement across students, while leaving a practical question about what a learner can change during an ordinary week. This paper asks how students can use evidence from their own work to make better decisions about independent study.University students can develop more effective independent learning habits by following a recurring cycle in which they plan around a specific learning goal, monitor understanding through active practice, and revise their strategies using feedback. Planning gives study a clear purpose, monitoring reveals gaps that familiarity with the material can conceal, and reflection helps students choose the next step based on what they can demonstrate.

Conceptual Approach

This paper draws on selected research and uses Zimmerman's model of self-regulated learning as its organizing framework [8]. The model distinguishes forethought, performance, and self-reflection. In this paper, these phases correspond to decisions students make before, during, and after a study session. The discussion connects evidence about academic performance with evidence about specific study methods and applies the framework to a foreign-language assignment.

Planning What the Student Will Learn

A useful study plan begins with a clear outcome. "Study English on Wednesday" specifies a time, while "write a paragraph that uses evidence to support one claim" specifies what the student will be able to do. Specific goals help direct attention and

give students a basis for judging their progress [4]. When a task is unfamiliar, it can be useful to focus on a skill that can be practiced, such as writing a defensible topic sentence, before setting a target grade. Time management gives the goal a realistic place in the week. Britton and Tesser [3] found that some reported time-management practices predicted later college grades in their sample. For an assignment due on Friday, a student might reserve separate sessions for reading sources, drafting, and revising. If a session is missed, the plan can be adjusted by protecting time for the step that matters most to the quality of the final work.

Students can also connect a goal to a reason they value. Ryan and Deci [5] explain how interest and personal endorsement can support engagement. A student who wants to take part in an international exchange, for example, may see a clear purpose in practicing how to summarize evidence in English. That purpose can help sustain work on parts of the assignment that are less enjoyable, such as checking references.

Monitoring What the Student Can Do

Monitoring is the process of checking whether a study activity is producing the intended learning. Dunlosky et al. [7] identify practice testing and distributed practice as techniques with broad utility. To apply them in a language course, students can recall the meaning and use of a term without notes, check the answer, and return to the term in a later session. The pattern of errors helps identify what needs further practice. A student who remembers a word's meaning but cannot use it in a sentence needs a different task from a student who cannot recall the meaning at all. Monitoring can also involve critical thinking. When reading an academic article, a student might record the author's claim, the evidence supporting it, and a limitation of that evidence. Writing this explanation from memory shows what the student understood, while checking the original reveals omitted qualifications or misread details. These checks generate

concrete questions for an instructor or peer and help students make better use of feedback.

Using Feedback to Plan the Next Attempt

Reflection is most useful when it leads to a specific adjustment. An essay may receive feedback that its evidence is too general. The student can compare the paragraph with the rubric, locate the sentence that makes the main claim, and ask whether the cited source supports that claim at the same level of specificity. A useful next step might be to narrow the claim or find evidence from a more relevant population. Bandura's account of self-efficacy [6] helps explain why evidence of progress matters. Students who see that they can complete a specific task after applying a strategy have a concrete basis for judging what they can do. After revising one paragraph successfully, a student can use the same evidence check on the next paragraph and then test whether the strategy transfers to a different assignment. In this way, reflection helps connect one learning task to the next.

Applying the Cycle to a Foreign-Language Assignment

A student preparing an English argumentative paragraph can divide the work into three sessions. In the first, the student chooses a claim and identifies one credible source. The goal is to explain the source's main finding in one sentence. In the second, the student drafts the paragraph from an outline, checks each statement against the source, and marks the sentence explaining how the evidence supports the claim. A classmate then reads the paragraph and identifies the claim and the reason offered for it. In the third session, the student compares the classmate's reading with the intended argument. If the classmate recognizes the claim but cannot explain the link to the evidence, the student revises that link and asks for a second reading. In the next assignment, the student adds an evidence check to the outline before drafting. This example illustrates how the cycle turns a problem in one assignment into a specific change in the approach to the next.

Conclusion

Independent learning improves when students can identify a learning goal, choose a way to test their progress, and use the result to guide further practice. Planning, monitoring, and reflection make those decisions explicit. A schedule helps protect time for practice, retrieval and critical analysis reveal gaps in understanding, and feedback helps students decide what to revise. Repeating this cycle across assignments allows university students to build study habits suited to the demands of their courses.

References

1. Richardson, M., Abraham, C., & Bond, R. (2012). Psychological correlates of university students' academic performance: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin, 138*(2), 353–387. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026838
2. Poropat, A. E. (2009). A meta-analysis of the five-factor model of personality and academic performance. *Psychological Bulletin, 135*(2), 322–338. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014996
3. Britton, B. K., & Tesser, A. (1991). Effects of time-management practices on college grades. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 83*(3), 405–410. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.83.3.405
4. Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (2002). Building a practically useful theory of goal setting and task motivation: A 35-year odyssey. *American Psychologist, 57*(9), 705–717. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.57.9.705
5. Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist, 55*(1), 68–78. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68
6. Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control.* W. H. Freeman.

7. Dunlosky, J., Rawson, K. A., Marsh, E. J., Nathan, M. J., & Willingham, D. T. (2013). Improving students' learning with effective learning techniques: Promising directions from cognitive and educational psychology. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 14*(1), 4–58. https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100612453266

8. Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. *Theory Into Practice, 41*(2), 64–70. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4102_2