CONDUCTING A PEDAGOGICAL EXPERIMENT: A DIAGNOSTIC–INTERVENTION–CONTROL MODEL

Axtamov Furqatjon Abdusalom o'g'li

(aktamovfurqatjon@gmail.com)

A student of 4th year, Faculty of Philology, Tashkent University of Applied Sciences, Gavhar Str. 1, Tashkent 100179, Uzbekistan

Hamdamov Bekzod Fozil o'g'li

A student of 4th year, Faculty of Philology, Tashkent University of Applied Sciences, Gavhar Str. 1, Tashkent 100179, Uzbekistan

(begzodxamdamov0@gmail.com)

Abdurahmonov Sanjar Zubaydulla o'g'li

A student of 4th year, Faculty of Philology, Tashkent University of Applied Sciences, Gavhar Str. 1, Tashkent 100179, Uzbekistan

(vuukh_1@gmail.com)

Ermatova Marjona G'affor qizi

A student of 4th year, Faculty of Philology, Tashkent University of Applied Sciences, Gavhar Str. 1, Tashkent 100179, Uzbekistan

(ermatovam081@gmail.com)

Scientific Supervisor: Azimova Makhfuza Abdusamatovna

(azimovamakhfuza19@gmail.com)

Tashkent University for Applied Sciences, senior teacher of the department of the foreign language and Literature

ABSTRACT

This article examines the process of conducting a pedagogical experiment based on a structured three-stage model: diagnostics, intervention, and control. The study aims to demonstrate how each stage contributes to the systematic evaluation of teaching effectiveness. The diagnostics stage identifies learners' initial knowledge and skills, the intervention stage introduces an instructional treatment, and the control stage evaluates learning outcomes through post-testing and comparative analysis. The article highlights the importance of experimental design in ensuring validity, reliability, and objectivity in educational research. The findings suggest that a well-structured pedagogical experiment provides reliable evidence for improving teaching methodologies and enhancing student learning outcomes.

Keywords:

Pedagogical experiment; diagnostics stage; intervention stage; control stage; pre-test; post-test; teaching methodology; experimental research; educational assessment; learning outcomes.

INTRODUCTION

Pedagogical experiments are an essential component of modern educational research, as they provide a systematic and evidence-based approach to evaluating teaching and learning processes. In contemporary education, there is an increasing need to move beyond traditional instructional practices and adopt innovative, research-based teaching methods that enhance students' academic performance and engagement.

One of the most effective frameworks for conducting such research is the diagnostics–

intervention–control model, which allows researchers to organize the experiment in a logical and scientifically valid sequence. This model begins with the diagnostics stage, where the initial level of learners is assessed; continues with the intervention stage, where a new teaching method is implemented; and concludes with the control stage, where the effectiveness of the intervention is evaluated through post-testing and comparative analysis.

The main advantage of this structured approach is that it ensures clarity, objectivity, and measurability in educational research. By comparing pre- and post-intervention data between experimental and control groups, researchers are able to determine the real impact of instructional innovations on student learning outcomes.

This article aims to analyse each stage of the pedagogical experiment in detail and demonstrate how the diagnostics–intervention–control model contributes to improving the quality and reliability of educational research.

1.Diagnostics Stage (Diagnostic Phase)

The diagnostics stage is the initial and foundational phase of a pedagogical experiment. Its primary purpose is to identify the current level of learners' knowledge, skills, competencies, and learning difficulties before any instructional intervention is introduced. This stage provides the baseline data that will later be used to measure the effectiveness of the experimental teaching method.

In educational research, the accuracy of the diagnostic stage directly determines the validity and reliability of the entire experiment. If the initial data is incomplete or inaccurate, the final results may be misleading. Therefore, careful planning and the use of appropriate diagnostic tools are essential.

Objectives of the Diagnostics Stage

The main objectives include:

- Determining students' prior knowledge and academic readiness
- Identifying gaps in understanding and common errors
- Assessing learners' motivation and attitudes toward the subject
- Establishing a clear comparison point for post-experimental analysis

Methods Used in Diagnostics

Researchers typically use a combination of quantitative and qualitative methods, such as:

- Pre-tests – standardized or teacher-designed tests to measure subject knowledge
- Questionnaires – to collect data on students' attitudes, motivation, and learning habits
- Interviews – structured or semi-structured conversations to gain deeper insights
- Classroom observation – monitoring student behavior, participation, and interaction
- Document analysis – reviewing previous grades, assignments, and academic records

Using multiple methods increases the reliability of diagnostic findings through data triangulation.

Procedure of the Diagnostics Stage

The diagnostic process usually follows these steps:

1. Defining the learning objectives and competencies to be measured

2. Designing appropriate diagnostic instruments (tests, surveys, etc.)
3. Selecting the experimental and control groups
4. Conducting the diagnostic assessment under equal conditions
5. Collecting and organizing the data for analysis

Importance in Pedagogical Experiment

The diagnostics stage is crucial because it:

- Establishes the baseline level of all participants
- Ensures comparability between experimental and control groups
- Helps in formulating realistic hypotheses
- Provides evidence for measuring learning progress after intervention

Without a well-structured diagnostic stage, it becomes impossible to clearly determine whether improvements are caused by the intervention or by external factors.

Common Challenges

Despite its importance, this stage may face several challenges:

- Inaccurate or biased test design
- Student anxiety affecting performance
- Unequal conditions during assessment
- Limited time for deep diagnostic analysis

To overcome these issues, researchers must ensure fairness, clarity of instructions, and standardized assessment conditions.

The diagnostics stage is not merely a preparatory step but a scientific foundation of a pedagogical experiment. It provides the essential reference point against which all later changes are measured. A well-executed diagnostic phase increases the credibility, precision, and scientific value of the entire research process.

2. Intervention Stage (Experimental Phase)

The intervention stage is the central and most dynamic phase of a pedagogical experiment. It represents the practical implementation of a newly designed teaching method, instructional strategy, or educational innovation. Unlike the diagnostic stage, which focuses on identifying the initial condition of learners, the intervention stage actively seeks to influence and improve those conditions through targeted pedagogical actions.

The main purpose of this stage is to examine how a specific instructional approach affects students' learning outcomes, motivation, cognitive development, and overall academic performance. It is during this phase that the hypothesis formulated at the beginning of the research is tested in real educational settings.

Purpose and Objectives of the Intervention Stage

The intervention stage is designed to achieve several key objectives:

- To implement a new or modified teaching methodology in the learning process
- To compare the effectiveness of innovative and traditional instructional approaches
- To enhance students' cognitive, communicative, and analytical skills
- To observe changes in learners' academic performance over time
- To collect empirical evidence supporting or rejecting the research hypothesis

Structure of the Intervention Stage

In most pedagogical experiments, the intervention stage is organized through a comparative group design, which includes:

- Experimental group – receives the new teaching method or innovation
- Control group – continues learning through traditional or standard methods

This structure allows researchers to isolate the effect of the intervention and ensure that any observed differences in outcomes are directly related to the implemented pedagogical change.

Types of Pedagogical Interventions

The intervention may vary depending on the research focus and subject area. Common types include:

- Interactive learning methods (group work, discussions, problem-based learning)
- Technology-enhanced instruction (use of digital tools, multimedia, e-learning platforms)
- Task-based learning approaches
- Communicative teaching strategies
- Blended learning models combining face-to-face and online instruction

Each intervention is carefully designed based on the findings of the diagnostic stage and the objectives of the study.

Implementation Procedure

The intervention stage is typically carried out through the following steps:

1. Development of a detailed lesson plan or instructional program
2. Training or preparation of the teacher/researcher for implementation
3. Application of the new method in the experimental group over a defined period
4. Ensuring consistent teaching conditions for both experimental and control groups
5. Continuous monitoring of student participation, engagement, and progress

Data Collection During Intervention

Although the main evaluation occurs in the control stage, continuous data collection is an essential part of the intervention phase. Researchers may use:

- Classroom observations
- Learning logs or journals
- Formative assessments (quizzes, assignments, oral responses)
- Student feedback and reflective questionnaires

This ongoing data helps track learning development and adjust instructional strategies if necessary.

Factors Influencing Effectiveness

The success of the intervention stage depends on several critical factors:

- Quality and clarity of the instructional design
- Teacher competence and consistency in implementation
- Duration and intensity of the intervention

- Student engagement and motivation
- Learning environment and available resources

Any inconsistency in these factors may affect the reliability of experimental results.

Challenges of the Intervention Stage

Researchers may face several challenges during implementation, including:

- Difficulty maintaining equal conditions between groups
- Resistance to new teaching methods from students or teachers
- Limited time for full implementation
- External factors influencing student performance

To minimize these issues, careful planning, clear instructions, and strict experimental control are required.

The intervention stage is the core of a pedagogical experiment where theoretical hypotheses are tested in practice. It transforms research from abstract planning into real educational action. A well-designed and properly implemented intervention ensures that the experiment produces valid, reliable, and scientifically meaningful results that can contribute to improving teaching and learning processes.

3. Control Stage (Evaluation Phase: Post-test and Comparison)

The control stage is the final and decisive phase of a pedagogical experiment. Its primary purpose is to evaluate the effectiveness of the intervention by systematically measuring learning outcomes after the instructional treatment has been applied. This stage provides empirical evidence that allows researchers to determine whether the

experimental teaching method has produced significant improvements compared to traditional approaches.

Unlike the diagnostic stage, which measures the initial level of learners, and the intervention stage, which introduces pedagogical change, the control stage focuses entirely on assessment, comparison, and interpretation of results. It is at this point that the validity of the research hypothesis is confirmed or rejected.

Purpose and Objectives of the Control Stage

The control stage is designed to achieve several key research objectives:

- To measure students' learning outcomes after the intervention
- To determine the effectiveness of the experimental teaching method
- To compare progress between experimental and control groups
- To evaluate the degree of improvement in knowledge, skills, and competencies
- To provide evidence for confirming or rejecting the research hypothesis

Post-test Assessment

A central component of the control stage is the post-test, which is administered after the completion of the intervention. The post-test is typically designed using the same structure and difficulty level as the pre-test used in the diagnostic stage, ensuring direct comparability of results.

The post-test may include:

- Written tests (multiple-choice, short answer, essay questions)
- Oral assessments (interviews, presentations, discussions)

- Practical tasks or performance-based evaluations
- Problem-solving exercises depending on the subject area

The main goal of the post-test is to objectively measure how much students' performance has improved after the intervention.

Comparison of Experimental and Control Groups

One of the most important aspects of the control stage is the systematic comparison between the experimental and control groups.

- The experimental group reflects the outcomes of the new teaching method
- The control group reflects the outcomes of traditional instruction

By comparing the performance of these two groups, researchers can determine whether the intervention had a statistically and pedagogically significant impact.

This comparison often involves:

- Pre-test vs. post-test score analysis within each group
- Cross-group comparison of post-test results
- Measurement of improvement rates (learning gain)

Data Analysis and Interpretation

After collecting post-test data, researchers perform a detailed analysis to interpret the results. This may include both quantitative and qualitative approaches.

Quantitative analysis may involve:

- Calculation of mean scores

- Percentage improvement analysis
- Standard deviation and score distribution
- Statistical significance testing (e.g., t-tests in advanced studies)

Qualitative analysis may include:

- Analysis of student feedback
- Observation of behavioural and motivational changes
- Evaluation of learning strategies used by students

The combination of both approaches provides a more complete understanding of the intervention's impact.

Criteria for Evaluating Effectiveness

The effectiveness of the pedagogical intervention is usually evaluated based on several criteria:

- Improvement in academic performance
- Increased student engagement and participation
- Development of higher-order thinking skills
- Better retention and understanding of learning material
- Positive changes in learning motivation and attitude

If the experimental group demonstrates significantly better outcomes than the control group, the intervention is considered effective.

Validity and Reliability Considerations

To ensure scientific accuracy, the control stage must maintain:

- Validity – the assessment measures what it is intended to measure
- Reliability – consistent and stable measurement results
- Objectivity – absence of researcher bias in evaluation
- Comparability – equal testing conditions for both groups

These factors are essential for drawing credible conclusions from the experiment.

Challenges in the Control Stage

Several challenges may arise during evaluation:

- Test anxiety affecting student performance
- External factors influencing results (time, environment, motivation)
- Difficulty ensuring complete equivalence between groups
- Statistical limitations in small sample sizes

Researchers must carefully control these variables to maintain the integrity of the experiment.

The control stage is the final analytical phase of a pedagogical experiment, where all collected data is evaluated and interpreted. Through post-tests and comparative analysis, researchers determine the real impact of the intervention on student learning outcomes. This stage transforms raw data into meaningful conclusions and plays a critical role in validating educational research. A well-executed control stage ensures that the findings are reliable, objective, and applicable to real educational practice.

CONCLUSION

The pedagogical experiment based on the diagnostics–intervention–control model represents a systematic and reliable approach to educational research. Each stage plays a specific and interconnected role in ensuring the scientific validity of the study. The diagnostics stage provides a clear understanding of learners' initial level and establishes a baseline for comparison. The intervention stage introduces and implements the selected teaching method, allowing researchers to observe its practical influence on the learning process. The control stage, through post-testing and comparative analysis, evaluates the effectiveness of the intervention and confirms whether the research hypothesis is supported. The results of such structured experiments demonstrate that teaching innovations can significantly improve students' academic performance, motivation, and overall learning outcomes when they are properly designed and implemented. Moreover, the use of control and experimental groups ensures objectivity and allows for accurate measurement of educational progress.

In conclusion, the diagnostics–intervention–control framework is an effective methodological tool in pedagogical research. It strengthens the quality of educational studies by providing clear procedures for data collection, analysis, and interpretation. This model not only contributes to the development of modern teaching methodologies but also supports evidence-based decision-making in education.

References

1. Purdue Online Writing Lab https://owl.purdue.edu/owl/research_and_citation/research_papers/index.html

2. ERIC https://eric.ed.gov

3. Open University https://www.open.ac.uk/library/help-and-support/research-skills

4. Saylor Academy https://learn.saylor.org/course/view.php?id=25

5. Cresswell, J. W. (2018). Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches. Sage Publications.

6. Crystal, D. (2019). A Dictionary of Linguistics and Phonetics. Wiley-Blackwell.

7. de Saussure, F. (2011). Course in General Linguistics. Columbia University Press.

8. Lyons, J. (2020). Introduction to Theoretical Linguistics. Cambridge University Press.

9. Neuman, W. L. (2017). Social Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches. Pearson.

10. Saliyeva, N. (2021). Linguistic Research Methods. Tashkent: National University of Uzbekistan Press.